

l

Bathurst Interim LEP 2005 Heritage amendment

Proposal Title :	Bathurst Interim LEP 2005 Her	itage amendment		
Proposal Summary :	Amendment to two heritage items in Bathurst: increase the curtilage of the State Heritage-listed Cathedral of St Michael and St John (zoned 3(a)General Business); 107 William Street Bathurst; and decrease the curtilage around the Holy Family School, French Smith Place and Wentworth Drive, Kelso, zoned Residential 2(a).			
PP Number :	PP_2013_BATHU_002_00	Dop File No :	13/03608	
Proposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	18-Feb-2013	LGA covered :	Bathurst Regional	
Region :	Western	RPA :	Bathurst Regional Council	
State Electorate :	BATHURST	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning			
Location Details				
Street : 10	7 William Street			
Suburb : Ba	thurst City :	Bathurst	Postcode : 2795	
Land Parcel : Lo	t 4 1076699			
Street : Fre	ench Smith Place and Wentworth	Drive		
Suburb : Ke	Iso City :	Bathurst	Postcode : 2795	
Land Parcel : Lo	ts 44 and 46 DP 1172067			
DoP Planning Officer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Nita Lennon			
Contact Number :	0268412180	0268412180		
Contact Email :	nita.lennon@planning.nsw.gov.a	nita.lennon@planning.nsw.gov.au		
RPA Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Nicholas Murphy			
Contact Number :	0263336514			
Contact Email :	nicholas.murphy@bathurst.nsw	/.gov.au		
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Dan Wagner			
Contact Number :	0268412180			
Contact Email :	daniel.wagner@planning.nsw.go	ov.au		

Land Release Data			
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	N/A	Consistent with Strategy :	N/A
MDP Number :		Date of Release ;	
Area of Release (Ha)	6.70	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	70
Gross Floor Area:	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment :	Yes		
in No, comment .			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists?	No		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	Council did not provide information on the land use zones or intended future use, particularly affecting the land at Kelso. The amendmdent to the curtilage of the site at Kelso will 'free' up approximately 6.7ha for residential development. The land is zoned Residential 2(a) and aerial photographs show that it is abutting rural land. There is no information on the State Heritage listing amendment which has led to the		
	amendment of the curtilage of the Cathedral in William Street. There is support provided from the Council's Heritage Advisor for the reduction of the curtilage around Holy Family School at Kelso, but this is not comprehensive.		
External Supporting Notes :			
dequacy Assessmen	t		
Statement of the ob	jectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ob	jectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	The PP involves an amendme	nt to the Bathurst Regional Ir	nterim LEP 2005 Heritage Map:
	1. To amend the curtilage of th school, to match the (increase		d St John and former St Mary's the State Heritage Register;
	2. To decrease the curtilage of boundary rather than a former		follow a natural landscape
	(This involves changing the m DP 1064148 into Lots 44 and 4		t subdivision of former Part Lot 2 developed for housing).
	Council advises that the reduc	ction of curtilage will enable	proposed dwellings on

Bathurst Interim LEP 2005 Heritage amendment approved residential lots on Lot 44 DP117067 to be considered as Complying Development. The aim of the PP is to amend the curtilage of the Heritage Item so that it is contained on Lot 46 DP 1172067. Council advises "if left unamended, the curtilage will affect future residential allotments once Lot 44 is resubdivided, excluding future dwellings being able to be considered as Complying Development". The new property boundary between lots 44 and 46 follows a driveway to the school, planted with exotic trees. Council aslo states that "The Department places a high priority on Complying Development particularly in relation to dwellings. The PP aims to facilitate Complying Development for future residential dwellings contained on Lot 44 DP 1172067, by amnending the curtilage of the Heritage item to be wholly contained on Lot 46 DP 1172067 (containing the heritage item)". Council did not mention the need to also mention Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage to reflect the new property description, where this is currently: Kelso: Holy Family School(former Marsden School,) French Smith Place, Part Lot 2, DP 1064148, Local I155 The subdivision of the site for the purpose of separating the heritage item from the undeveloped portion is justified on the basis of making future development available to Exempt and Complying development provisions. This does not exclude the need to assess the impact of the subdivision (and subsequent residential development) and it is recommended that the advice of the NSW Heritage Office is obtained. Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b) Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes It is proposed to amend the Bathurst Interim LEP by amendment to the Heritage Map series Comment : and Schedule. The Bathurst LEP is not an SI and therefore maps are not online. Council has provided copies of new maps to illustrate what the amendments will achieve. For William Street, the site identification map is the sheet with title 0470_COM_HER_011BB_010_201001206 and the Heritage Map showing items and conservation areas is titled HER_011B. For the Holy Family School, the site identification map is titled 0470 COM HER 011F 020 20101206 and the Heritage Map is Sheet HER_011F. It is expected that the Holy Family School will be identified by the new legal description in Schedule 5 of the LEP All four maps mentioned above are attached. Justification - s55 (2)(c) a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No b) S.117 directions identified by RPA : 2.3 Heritage Conservation * May need the Director General's agreement Is the Director General's agreement required? No c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : No N/A d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? Heritage Advisor, Barbara Hickson has provided support for the PP: e) List any other matters that need to "I support the Planning Proposal amending the curtilage of the State Heritage Item to be considered -

reflect that of the amended State Heritage Register listing.

I support the reduction of the curtilage of the Heritage Item. It is appropriate that the curtilage be adjusted from the former property boundary to the natural vegetation boundary as proposed in the Planning Proposal."

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? N/A

If No, explain :In relation to Section 117 Direction 2.3, Council provides advice from the Heritage
advisor which gives support to the PP. There is no inconsistency with the Direction on
account of the continued conservation of heritage items. The advice of the NSW
Heritage Office should be sought to verify the new property boundary between Lots 44
and 46 at Holy Family School to ensure that future residential development does not
adversely affect the heritage items.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment : A consultation period of 28 days is proposed. This is supported.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? N/A

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : March 2014

Comments in relationThere are difficulties with the draft SI LEP, particularly related to Exempt and Complyingto Principal LEP :development and Council's reluctance to adopt the SEPP Housing Codes and the SI.

Assessment Criteria

Need for planningThere is no urgency for the PP. It is expected that Council will (and is) prepare PPs in lieuproposal :of working on the draft LEP given the current position.

38	athurst Interim LEP 20	005 Heritage amend	ment			
	Consistency with strategic planning framework :	where it is intended to French Smith Place ar Bathurst Interim LEP 2 lots. The land contain but has been recently	develop nd Wentwo 2005. The ing the Ho subdivide	area of the land will have a p	oses. However, the land in y zoned Residential 2(a) by the potential yield of approx 70 in area (Part Lot 2 DP1064148) P1172067 (7.4ha and 6.7ha	
		The land on which the State Heritage Listed item is situated (Lot 4 DP 1076699) is locate in the Bathurst CBD and zoned 3(a) General Business.				
		In both cases, the cur zoning.	tilages are	e being altered, which in turn	n has no effect on land use	
			is able to	access Complying developr	School, the now new separate ment provisions which are	
	Environmental social economic impacts :	Council has advised the result of the PP.	hat enviro	nmental, social and econom	ic impacts will be minimal as a	
		The PP will have the re	esult of re	leasing more land for reside	ntial development.	
	Assessment Process	3				
	Proposal type :	Minor		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
	Timeframe to make LEP :	9 Month		Delegation :	RPA	
	Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Office of Environment	and Herit	age		
	Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No			
	(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes			
	If no, provide reasons :					
	Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No				
	If Yes, reasons :					
	Identify any additional st	udies, if required. :				
	Heritage If Other, provide reasons	s:				
	A heritage consultant h recommended, particul				f the NSW Heritage Office is	
	Identify any internal cons	Identify any internal consultations, if required :				
	No internal consultation	n required				
	Is the provision and func	ling of state infrastructure	e relevant	to this plan? Yes		
	If Yes, reasons : The subdivided residential land the subject of this PP will result in the development of new housing.					

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	ls Public
propheritageitem_i155_zone.pdf	Мар	No
propheritageitem_i73_zone.pdf	Мар	No
Heritage advisor letter of support.pdf	Study	No
Minute - DEPBS ro GM re Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	No
Planning Proposal requesting gateway	Proposal Covering Letter	No
determination.pdf		
0470_COM_HER_011BB_010_20101206.pdf	Мар	No
0470_COM_HER_011BB_010_20121217.pdf	Мар	No
0470_COM_HER_011F_020_20101206.pdf	Мар	No
0470_COM_HER_011F_020_20121217.pdf	Мар	No
cathedral of saints michael and john.doc	Study	No
Attachment_4Evaluation_criteria_for_the_delegation of plan making functions.doc	Determination Document	No
lot 44 dp 1172067.pdf	Photograph	No

Pla

nning Team Recomm	endation		
Preparation of the plannin	g proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions		
S.117 directions:	2.3 Heritage Conservation		
Additional Information :	: 1. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) o the EPAA: NSW Heritage Office (OEH)		
	 2. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Enviornmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EPAA) as follows: (a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for 28 days, and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of 'A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning & Infrastructure 2012'). 3. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EPAA. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land). 		
	4. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway Determination.		
	5. Council's wish to utilise delegations in this matter is supported.		
Supporting Reasons :	 To ensure professional advice on the integrity of the amendment to the curtilage of the Holy Family School at Kelso is obtained and considered. To ensure an appropriate consultation period. To ensure an adequate timeframe to complete the LEP. 		
Signature:	m		
Printed Name:	Dan Wagner Date: 01/03/2013		